

RECURSIONS FOR EXCEDANCE NUMBER IN SOME PERMUTATIONS GROUPS

ELI BAGNO, DAVID GARBER, TOUFIK MANSOUR,
AND ROBERT SHWARTZ

ABSTRACT. The excedance number for S_n is known to have an Eulerian distribution. Nevertheless, the classical proof uses descents rather than excedances. We present a direct recursive proof which seems to be folklore and extend it to the colored permutation groups $G_{r,n}$.

The generalized recursion yields some interesting connection to Stirling numbers of the second kind. We also show some log-concavity result concerning a variant of the excedance number.

Finally, we show that the generating function of the excedance number defined on $G_{r,n}$ is symmetric.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let S_n be the symmetric group on n letters. The parameter *excedance*, which is defined on a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ by

$$\text{exc}(\pi) = |\{i \in [n] \mid \pi(i) > i\}|,$$

is well-known. Another classical parameter defined on permutations of S_n is the *descent number*, defined by

$$\text{des}(\pi) = |\{i \in [n-1] \mid \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)\}|.$$

Both parameters have the same distribution, which can be read from the following recursion:

$$a(n, k) = (k+1)a(n-1, k) + (n-k)a(n-1, k-1);$$

$$a(n, 0) = 1, \quad a(0, k) = 0$$

where $a(n, k)$ is the number of permutations in S_n with k excedances or k descents. The corresponding generating function $\sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{\text{exc}(\pi)}$ is called *the Eulerian polynomial*.

There is a well-known proof for this recursion by enumerating the descents [3], and there is a bijection from S_n onto itself, taking the descents into the excedances [6].

We start this paper by presenting a classical way to obtain the above recursion using only the excedance numbers. This argument appears also in [4].

An excedance number was defined also for the family of groups $G_{r,n} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n$ (see [1],[2],[7]).

We generalize this recursion for the cases of the hyperoctahedral group $B_n = G_{2,n}$ and the colored permutation groups $G_{r,n}$.

This generalized recursion yields several interesting results. First, it gives some connection to the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Moreover, one gets a log-concavity result for a variant of the excedance number.

It is well-known that the generating function $\sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{\text{exc}(\pi)} = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i q^i$ has some symmetric properties. It is symmetric in the sense that $a_i = a_{d-i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor\}$. We prove here the corresponding symmetric property for $G_{r,n}$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the group $G_{r,n} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n$. In Section 3, we define some of its parameters.

Section 4 deals with the proof of the recursion for S_n . In Sections 5 and 6 we give the corresponding recursions for B_n and $G_{r,n}$, respectively.

Section 7 deals with the connection to Stirling numbers, and Section 8 deals with the log-concavity result.

In the last section, we prove the symmetry of the generating function of the excedance on $G_{r,n}$.

2. THE GROUP OF COLORED PERMUTATIONS

Definition 2.1. Let r and n be positive integers. *The group of colored permutations of n digits with r colors* is the wreath product:

$$G_{r,n} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n = \mathbb{Z}_r^n \rtimes S_n,$$

consisting of all the pairs $(\vec{z}, \tau)$ where $\vec{z}$ is an n -tuple of integers between 0 and $r-1$ and $\tau \in S_n$. The multiplication is defined by the following rule: for $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $\vec{z}' = (z'_1, \dots, z'_n)$

$$(\vec{z}, \tau) \cdot (\vec{z}', \tau') = ((z_1 + z'_{\tau^{-1}(1)}, \dots, z_n + z'_{\tau^{-1}(n)}), \tau \circ \tau')$$

(here $+$ is taken modulo r).

We use some conventions along this paper. For an element $\pi = (\vec{z}, \tau) \in G_{r,n}$ with $\vec{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ we write $z_i(\pi) = z_i$. For $\pi = (\vec{z}, \tau)$, we denote $|\pi| = (\vec{0}, \tau)$ where $\vec{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_r^n$. We also define $c_i(\pi) =$

$z_i(\pi^{-1})$ and $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$. Using this notation, the element $(\vec{c}, \tau) = \left((0, 1, 2, 3), \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in G_{3,4}$ will be written as $(2\bar{1}\bar{4}\bar{3})$.

Here is another way to present $G_{r,n}$: Consider the alphabet $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}, \dots, 1^{[r-1]}, \dots, n^{[r-1]}\}$ as the set $[n]$ colored by the colors $0, \dots, r-1$. Then, an element of $G_{r,n}$ is a *colored permutation*, i.e., a bijection $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ satisfying the following condition: if $\pi(i^{[\alpha]}) = j^{[\beta]}$ then $\pi(i^{[\alpha+1]}) = j^{[\beta+1]}$.

In particular, $G_{1,n} = C_1 \wr S_n$ is the symmetric group S_n , while $G_{2,n} = C_2 \wr S_n$ is the group of signed permutations B_n , also known as the *hyperoctahedral group*, or the *classical Coxeter group of type B*.

3. STATISTICS ON $G_{r,n}$

Given any ordered alphabet Σ' , we recall the definition of the *excedance set* of a permutation π on Σ' :

$$\text{Exc}(\pi) = \{i \in \Sigma' \mid \pi(i) > i\}$$

and the *excedance number* is defined to be $\text{exc}(\pi) = |\text{Exc}(\pi)|$.

We start by defining the color order on the set

$$\Sigma = \{1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}, \dots, 1^{[r-1]}, \dots, n^{[r-1]}\}.$$

Definition 3.1. The *color order* on Σ is defined to be

$$1^{[r-1]} < \dots < n^{[r-1]} < 1^{[r-2]} < 2^{[r-2]} < \dots < n^{[r-2]} < \dots < 1 < \dots < n.$$

Example 3.2. Given the color order

$$\bar{1} < \bar{2} < \bar{3} < \bar{1} < \bar{2} < \bar{3} < 1 < 2 < 3,$$

we write $\sigma = (3\bar{1}\bar{2}) \in G_{3,3}$ in an extended form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \bar{3} & 1 & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{1} & 2 & 3 & \bar{1} & \bar{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and calculate $\text{Exc}(\sigma) = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, 1\}$ and $\text{exc}(\sigma) = 6$.

We present now an alternative way to compute the excedance number. Let $\sigma \in G_{r,n}$. We define:

$$\text{csum}(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\sigma).$$

Note that in the case $r = 2$ (i.e. the group B_n) the alphabet Σ can be seen as containing the digits $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$ and the parameter $\text{csum}(\pi)$ counts the number of digits $i \in [n]$ such that $\pi(i) < 0$, so we call it $\text{neg}(\pi)$.

Define now:

$$\text{Exc}_A(\sigma) = \{i \in [n-1] \mid \sigma(i) > i\},$$

where the comparison is with respect to the color order, and

$$\text{exc}_A(\sigma) = |\text{Exc}_A(\sigma)|.$$

Example 3.3. Take $\sigma = (\bar{1}\bar{3}\bar{4}\bar{2}) \in G_{3,4}$. Then $\text{csum}(\sigma) = 4$, $\text{Exc}_A(\sigma) = \{3\}$ and hence $\text{exc}_A(\sigma) = 1$.

We have now (see [1]):

Lemma 3.4.

$$\text{exc}(\sigma) = r \cdot \text{exc}_A(\sigma) + \text{csum}(\sigma).$$

4. THE RECURSION FOR S_n

We supply a classical proof for the recursion for the Eulerian polynomial using its interpretation as a generating function for the excedance number for S_n . Denote by $a(n, k)$ the number of permutations in S_n with exactly k excedances. Then we have the following recursion:

Proposition 4.1.

$$a(n, k) = (k+1)a(n-1, k) + (n-k)a(n-1, k-1)$$

$$a(n, 0) = 1, a(0, k) = 0.$$

Proof. For each n , $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, denote by $S(n, k)$ the set of permutations in S_n with exactly k excedances. Denote also

$$R = \{\pi \in S(n, k) \mid \pi^{-1}(n) < \pi(n)\}$$

and

$$T = \{\pi \in S(n, k) \mid \pi^{-1}(n) \geq \pi(n)\}.$$

Define $\Phi : S(n, k) \mapsto S(n-1, k) \cup S(n-1, k-1)$ as follows: Let $\pi \in S(n, k)$. Then $\Phi(\pi)$ is the permutation of S_{n-1} obtained from $(n, \pi(n))\pi$ by ignoring the last digit.

Let $\pi \in S(n, k) = R \cup T$. If $\pi \in R$ then $\Phi(\pi) \in S(n-1, k)$. Note that $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = k+1$. On the other hand, if $\pi \in T$, then $\Phi(\pi) \in S(n-1, k-1)$ and $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = n-1-(k-1) = n-k$. $\square$

We give the following example for clarifying the proof.

Example 4.2. Consider $S(5, 2)$.

Let

$$R \ni \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ \mathbf{4} & 3 & 1 & 2 & \mathbf{5} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

so:

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi)) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & \textcircled{2} & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Let

$$T \ni \pi = \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 2 & \textcircled{3} & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} & 4 & 5 \\ \mathbf{1} & 2 & 4 & 3 & \mathbf{5} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi)) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{1} & 2 & \textcircled{3} & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 4 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \textcircled{2} & \textcircled{3} & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \textcircled{3} & \textcircled{4} & 5 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

5. THE RECURSION FOR B_n

In this section, we generalize the above recursion to $B_n = \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr S_n$. We start with some notations.

$$C_i(n, k) = \{\pi \in B_n \mid \text{exc}_A(\pi) = k, \text{neg}(\pi) = i\}$$

$$c_i(n, k) = \#C_i(n, k)$$

$$B_i(n, k) = \{\pi \in B_n \mid \text{exc}(\pi) = k, \text{neg}(\pi) = i\}$$

$$b_i(n, k) = \#B_i(n, k)$$

$$B(n, k) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i(n, k)$$

$$b(n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(n, k)$$

In B_n , we have $\text{exc}(\pi) = 2\text{exc}_A(\pi) + \text{neg}(\pi)$, hence:

$$b_i(n, k) = c_i\left(n, \frac{k-i}{2}\right)$$

In the following proposition, we give a recursion for $c_i(n, k)$:

Proposition 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(n, k) &= (n-k)c_i(n-1, k-1) + (k+1)c_i(n-1, k) + \\ &\quad + (n-k)c_{i-1}(n-1, k) + (k+1)c_{i-1}(n-1, k+1), \end{aligned}$$

with the following initial conditions:

$$c_i(n, 0) = \sum_{\substack{(t_1, \dots, t_i) \\ 1 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_i \leq n}} i!(i+1)^{n-t_i} \prod_{u=1}^i u^{t_u - t_{u-1} - 1}$$

where $t_0 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(0, k) &= 0; \quad c_0(1, 0) = 1; \\ c_{-1}(n, k) &= 0 \quad \forall n \forall k \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start with the recursion. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} C_i^0(n, k) &= \{\pi \in B_n \mid \text{exc}_A(\pi) = k, \text{neg}(\pi) = i, \pi^{-1}(n) > 0\} \\ c_i^0(n, k) &= \#C_i^0(n, k) \\ C_i^1(n, k) &= \{\pi \in B_n \mid \text{exc}_A(\pi) = k, \text{neg}(\pi) = i, \pi^{-1}(n) < 0\} \\ c_i^1(n, k) &= \#C_i^1(n, k) \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, $C_i(n, k) = C_i^0(n, k) \cup C_i^1(n, k)$ and hence:

$$c_i(n, k) = c_i^0(n, k) + c_i^1(n, k).$$

Define $\Phi : C_i^0(n, k) \mapsto C_i(n-1, k) \cup C_i(n-1, k-1)$ as follows: Let $\pi \in C_i^0(n, k)$. Then $\Phi(\pi)$ is the permutation of B_{n-1} obtained from $(n, \pi(n))\pi$ by ignoring the last digit.

Now, define:

$$R_0 = \{\pi \in C_i^0(n, k) \mid \pi^{-1}(n) < \pi(n)\}$$

and

$$T_0 = \{\pi \in C_i^0(n, k) \mid \pi^{-1}(n) \geq \pi(n)\}.$$

Let $\pi \in C_i(n, k) = R_0 \cup T_0$. If $\pi \in R_0$, then $\Phi(\pi) \in C_i(n-1, k)$. Note that $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = k+1$. On the other hand, if $\pi \in T_0$, then $\Phi(\pi) \in C_i(n-1, k-1)$ and $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = n-1-(k-1) = n-k$.

Define $\Phi : C_i^1(n, k) \mapsto C_{i-1}(n-1, k) \cup C_{i-1}(n-1, k+1)$ as before.

Now, define:

$$R_1 = \{\pi \in C_i^1(n, k) \mid |\pi^{-1}(n)| < \pi(n)\}$$

and

$$T_1 = \{\pi \in C_i^1(n, k) \mid |\pi^{-1}(n)| \geq \pi(n)\}.$$

Let $\pi \in C_i^1(n, k) = R_1 \cup T_1$. If $\pi \in R_1$, then $\Phi(\pi) \in C_{i-1}(n-1, k+1)$. Note that $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = k+1$. On the other hand, if $\pi \in T_1$, then $\Phi(\pi) \in C_{i-1}(n-1, k)$ and $|\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\pi))| = n-1-(k-1) = n-k$.

Combining together all the parts, we get the requested recursion for $c_i(n, k)$.

Now we prove the initial condition:

$$c_i(n, 0) = \sum_{\substack{(t_1, \dots, t_i) \\ 1 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_i \leq n}} i!(i+1)^{n-t_i} \prod_{u=1}^i u^{t_u-t_{u-1}-1}$$

where $t_0 = 0$.

Let $\pi \in B_n$ and let $1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_i \leq n$ be such that $\pi(t_j) < 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq i$).

In order to ensure that $\text{exc}_A(\pi) = 0$, we have to demand that for each $\ell \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_i\}$, $\pi(\ell) \leq \ell$. For each $\ell < t_1$ (if there is any), we have only one possibility: $\pi(\ell) = \ell$. For $t_1 < \ell < t_2$ (if there is any), we have exactly two possibilities, and so on: for $t_m < \ell < t_{m+1}$ (if there is any), we have exactly $m+1$ possibilities. Finally, for $t_i < \ell$, we have exactly $i+1$ possibilities.

After fixing $\pi(\ell)$ for each $\ell \notin \{t_1, \dots, t_i\}$, we have exactly $i!$ possibilities to locate $\pi(t_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq i$. This gives us the required initial condition. $\square$

The following example should clarify the above proof of the initial condition. Let $\pi \in B_9$ and assume that $t_1 = 3, t_2 = 6, t_3 = 8$. Then in order to get $\text{exc}_A(\pi) = 0$, we must have $\pi(1) = 1, \pi(2) = 2$. $\pi(4)$ can be 3 or 4. $\pi(5) \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ but once $\pi(4)$ has been chosen we have only 2 possibilities for it. $\pi(7) \in \{3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ which gives us 3 possibilities and for $\pi(9)$ we have 4 possibilities. The values corresponding to $\{\pi(3), \pi(6), \pi(8)\}$ are already fixed so we just have to order them.

6. THE CORRESPONDING RECURSION FOR $G_{r,n}$

The recursion for B_n can be generalized to $G_{r,n} = \mathbb{Z}_r \wr S_n$ very easily. We continue with similar notations.

$$C_i(r, n, k) = \{\pi \in G_{r,n} \mid \text{exc}_A(\pi) = k, \text{csum}(\pi) = i\}$$

$$c_i(r, n, k) = \#C_i(r, n, k)$$

$$B_i(r, n, k) = \{\pi \in G_{r,n} \mid \text{exc}(\pi) = k, \text{csum}(\pi) = i\}$$

$$b_i(r, n, k) = \#B_i(r, n, k)$$

$$B(r, n, k) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n B_i(r, n, k)$$

$$b(r, n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i(r, n, k)$$

In $G_{r,n}$, we have $\text{exc}(\pi) = r \cdot \text{exc}_A(\pi) + \text{csum}(\pi)$, hence:

$$b_i(r, n, k) = c_i \left(r, n, \frac{k-i}{r} \right)$$

In the following proposition, we give a recurrence for $c_i(r, n, k)$:

Proposition 6.1.

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(r, n, k) &= (n-k)c_i(r, n-1, k-1) + (k+1)c_i(r, n-1, k) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} ((n-k)c_{i-j}(r, n-1, k) + (k+1)c_{i-j}(r, n-1, k+1)), \end{aligned}$$

with the following initial conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(r, n, 0) &= \sum_{\substack{(t_1, \dots, t_i) \\ 1 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_i \leq n}} i!(r-1)^i (i+1)^{n-t_i} \prod_{u=1}^i u^{t_u - t_{u-1} - 1} \end{aligned}$$

where $t_0 = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(r, 0, k) &= 0; \quad c_0(r, 1, 0) = 1; \\ c_{-1}(r, n, k) &= 0 \quad \forall n \forall k \end{aligned}$$

7. THE DISTRIBUTION OF exc_A

The parameter exc_A deserves a special treatment, since its distribution involves the Stirling number of the second kind.

Let $d(r, n, k) = \sum_{i=0}^{(r-1)n} c_i(r, n, k)$ be the number of permutations in $\pi \in G_{r,n}$ such that $\text{exc}_A(\pi) = k$.

Summing up the equation of Proposition 6.1 for all i , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d(r, n, k) &= (n-k)d(r, n-1, k-1) + (k+1)d(r, n-1, k) \\ &\quad + (n-k)(r-1)d(r, n-1, k) + (k+1)(r-1)d(r, n-1, k+1), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad d(r, n, k) &= (n-k)d(r, n-1, k-1) \\ &\quad + (k+1 + (r-1)(n-k))d(r, n-1, k) \\ &\quad + (k+1)(r-1)d(r, n-1, k+1). \end{aligned}$$

In order to solve this recurrence, we define the following polynomial:

$$D_{r,n}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n d(r, n, k) t^k.$$

Rewriting Equation (1) in terms of the polynomial $D_{r,n}(t)$, we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{r,n}(t) &= ntD_{r,n-1}(t) - t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(tD_{r,n-1}(t)) \\ &\quad + (1 + (r-1)n)D_{r,n-1}(t) - (r-2)t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D_{r,n-1}(t) \\ &\quad + (r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D_{r,n-1}(t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that:

$$(2) \quad D_{r,n}(t) = (rn + (n-1)(t-1))D_{r,n-1}(t) - (t-1)(t+r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D_{r,n-1}(t).$$

Now, in order to solve this recurrence, assume $D_{r,n}(t)$ can be written as $D_{r,n}(t) = P_{r,n}(t)E_{r,n}(t)$, and later we give a condition for $P_{r,n}(t)$. Therefore, Equation (2) can be written in terms of $P_{r,n}(t)$ and $E_{r,n}(t)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r,n}(t) &= \frac{(rn + (n-1)(t-1))P_{r,n-1}(t) - (t-1)(t+r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P_{r,n-1}(t)}{P_{r,n}(t)}E_{r,n-1}(t) \\ &\quad - \frac{(t-1)(t+r-1)P_{r,n-1}(t)}{P_{r,n}(t)}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{r,n-1}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that:

$$(rn + (n-1)(t-1))P_{r,n-1}(t) = (t-1)(t+r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}P_{r,n-1}(t).$$

One solution of the above differential equation is

$$(3) \quad P_{r,n}(t) = \frac{(t-1)^{n+1}}{t+r-1}.$$

Note that $\frac{P_{r,n-1}(t)}{P_{r,n}(t)} = \frac{1}{t-1}$. Therefore, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$(4) \quad E_{r,n}(t) = -(t+r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{r,n-1}(t).$$

Substituting $n = 1$, we have:

$$E_{r,1}(t) = -(t+r-1)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{r,0}(t),$$

On the other hand, note that $D_{r,1} = r$ and $P_{r,1} = \frac{(t-1)^2}{t+r-1}$, and therefore:

$$E_{r,1} = \frac{D_{r,1}}{P_{r,1}} = \frac{r(t+r-1)}{(t-1)^2}.$$

Hence:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}E_{r,0}(t) = -\frac{r}{(t-1)^2}.$$

Hence, we define $E_{r,0}(t) = \frac{r}{t-1}$.

Before stating the main result of this section, we introduce the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Let $S_{n,j}$ be the (n, j) -Stirling numbers, and recall that for all n, j :

$$S_{n+1,j} = jS_{n,j} + S_{n,j-1}$$

Theorem 7.1. *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$E_{r,n}(t) = (-1)^{n-1} r \sum_{j=1}^n j! S_{n,j} \frac{(t+r-1)^j}{(1-t)^{j+1}},$$

Proof. We start by proving by induction on n the following equation:

$$E_{r,n}(t) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n S_{n,j} (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t).$$

It is easy to verify the equation for $n = 1$. Assume its correctness for a given n . By the recurrence relation (4), we have:

$$E_{r,n+1}(t) = -(t+r-1) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{r,n}(t).$$

By the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{r,n+1}(t) &= (-1)^{n+1} (t+r-1) \sum_{j=1}^n S_{n,j} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) \right) = \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} (t+r-1) \sum_{j=1}^n S_{n,j} \left(j(t+r-1)^{j-1} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) + (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial t^{j+1}} E_{r,0}(t) \right) = \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n j S_{n,j} (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n j S_{n,j} (t+r-1)^{j+1} \frac{\partial^{j+1}}{\partial t^{j+1}} E_{r,0}(t) \right) = \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n j S_{n,j} (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) + \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} (j-1) S_{n,j-1} (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) \right) = \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} S_{n+1,j} (t+r-1)^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j} E_{r,0}(t) \end{aligned}$$

as needed.

Using the initial condition of this recurrence, namely $E_{r,0}(t) = \frac{r}{t-1}$, we obtain that:

$$E_{r,n}(t) = (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^n S_{n,j} (t+r-1)^j \frac{(-1)^j j! r}{(t-1)^{j+1}},$$

which is equivalent to:

$$E_{r,n}(t) = (-1)^{n-1} r \sum_{j=1}^n j! S_{n,j} \frac{(t+r-1)^j}{(1-t)^{j+1}},$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

Now we ready to give an explicit formula for the polynomial $D_{r,n}(t)$.

Corollary 7.2. *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$D_{r,n}(t) = r \sum_{j=1}^n j! S_{n,j}(t+r-1)^{j-1} (1-t)^{n-j}.$$

Proof. From the definitions, we have that

$$D_{r,n}(t) = P_{r,n}(t) E_{r,n}(t) = \frac{(t-1)^{n+1}}{t+r-1} E_{r,n}(t) = \frac{(-1)^{n+1} (1-t)^{n+1}}{t+r-1} E_{r,n}(t).$$

Now, by Theorem 7.1, we get the desired result. $\square$

Remark 7.3. *The solution of this type of a recurrence relation is based on [5].*

Finding the coefficient of t^k in the polynomial $D_{r,n}(t)$ we obtain an explicit formula for the element $d(r, n, k)$, as follows.

Theorem 7.4. *The number of permutations π in $G_{r,n}$ with satisfy $\text{exc}_A(\pi) = k$ is given by*

$$d(r, n, k) = r \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{k+j-1-i} r^i j! S_{n,j} \binom{j-1}{i} \binom{n-1-i}{k}.$$

8. LOG-CONCAVITY OF THE PARAMETER exc_A

In this section, we show that the parameter exc_A is log-concave. We prove that exc_A is log-concave in B_n . The corresponding proof for $G_{r,n}$ is similar.

Since log-concavity implies unimodality for positive sequences, the parameter exc_A is unimodal too.

Theorem 8.1. *The parameter exc_A on B_n is log-concave.*

Proof. Recall the following definitions from Section 5:

$$C_i(n, k) = \{\pi \in B_n \mid \text{exc}_A(\pi) = k, \text{neg}(\pi) = i\},$$

$$c_i(n, k) = \#C_i(n, k).$$

Denote:

$$D_{n,k} = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i(n, k).$$

By the recursion given in Proposition 5.1:

$$\begin{aligned} c_i(n, k) &= (n-k)c_i(n-1, k-1) + (k+1)c_i(n-1, k) + \\ &\quad + (n-k)c_{i-1}(n-1, k) + (k+1)c_{i-1}(n-1, k+1), \end{aligned}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{n,k} &= (n-k)D_{n-1,k-1} + (k+1)D_{n-1,k} + (n-k)D_{n-1,k} + (k+1)D_{n-1,k+1} = \\ &= (n-k)D_{n-1,k-1} + (n+1)D_{n-1,k} + (k+1)D_{n-1,k+1} \end{aligned}$$

We prove the log-concavity by induction. For $n = 3$, the claim can be easily verified. Now we assume it for $n - 1$, and we have to show that:

$$D_{n,k}^2 \geq D_{n,k-1}D_{n,k+1}.$$

Along the following computation, we abbreviate $D_{n-1,j}$ to D_j .

We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^2 - D_{k-1}D_{k+1} &= [(n-k)D_{k-1} + (n+1)D_k + (k+1)D_{k+1}]^2 - \\ &\quad - [(n-k+1)D_{k-2} + (n+1)D_{k-1} + kD_k] \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot [(n-k-1)D_k + (n+1)D_{k+1} + (k+2)D_{k+2}] = \\ &= [(n-k)^2D_{k-1}^2 + (n+1)^2D_k^2 + (k+1)^2D_{k+1}^2 + \\ &\quad + 2(n-k)(n+1)D_{k-1}D_k + 2(n-k)(k+1)D_{k-1}D_{k+1} + \\ &\quad + 2(n+1)(k+1)D_kD_{k+1}] - \\ &\quad - [(n-k+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-2}D_k + (n-k+1)(n+1)D_{k-2}D_{k+1} + \\ &\quad + (n-k+1)(k+2)D_{k-2}D_{k+2} + (n+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-1}D_k + \\ &\quad + (n+1)^2D_{k-1}D_{k+1} + (n+1)(k+2)D_{k-1}D_{k+2} + \\ &\quad + k(n-k-1)D_k^2 + k(n+1)D_kD_{k+1} + k(k+2)D_kD_{k+2}] = \\ &= [(n-k)^2D_{k-1}^2 - (n-k+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-2}D_k] + \\ &\quad + [(k+1)^2D_{k+1}^2 - k(k+2)D_kD_{k+2}] + \\ &\quad + [2(n-k)(n+1)D_{k-1}D_k - (n-k+1)(n+1)D_{k-2}D_{k+1} - \\ &\quad - (n+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-1}D_k] + \\ &\quad + [2(n+1)(k+1)D_kD_{k+1} - (n+1)(k+2)D_{k-1}D_{k+2} - \\ &\quad - k(n+1)D_kD_{k+1}] + \\ &\quad + [(n+1)^2D_k^2 + 2(n-k)(k+1)D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - \\ &\quad - (n-k+1)(k+2)D_{k-2}D_{k+2} - (n+1)^2D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - \\ &\quad - k(n-k-1)D_k^2] \end{aligned}$$

We treat each one of the five brackets separately.

By the induction hypothesis, the first bracket is greater (or equal) than D_{k-1}^2 . Similarly, the second bracket is greater (or equal) than D_{k+1}^2 .

Since $D_{k-2}D_{k+1} \leq D_{k-1}D_k$ by the log-concavity assumption, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 2(n-k)(n+1)D_{k-1}D_k - (n-k+1)(n+1)D_{k-2}D_{k+1} - (n+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-1}D_k \geq \\
 & \geq 2(n-k)(n+1)D_{k-1}D_k - (n-k+1)(n+1)D_{k-1}D_k - (n+1)(n-k-1)D_{k-1}D_k = 0 \cdot D_{k-1}D_k = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence the third bracket is non-negative. Similarly, the fourth bracket is non-negative.

From the first two brackets, we have two positive elements: D_{k-1}^2 and D_{k+1}^2 . Their sum can be written as $(D_{k-1} - D_{k+1})^2 + 2D_{k-1}D_{k+1}$. Adding $2D_{k-1}D_{k+1}$ to the fifth bracket, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (n+1)^2D_k^2 + 2((n-k)(k+1)+1)D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - (n-k+1)(k+2)D_{k-2}D_{k+2} - \\
 & -(n+1)^2D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - k(n-k-1)D_k^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n+1)^2 = ((n-k)+(k+1))^2 = (n-k)^2 + (k+1)^2 + 2(n-k)(k+1)$, we can simplify the bracket into:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (n+1)^2D_k^2 - (n-k+1)(k+2)D_{k-2}D_{k+2} - \\
 & -((k+1)^2 - 1)D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - ((n-k)^2 - 1)D_{k-1}D_{k+1} - k(n-k-1)D_k^2
 \end{aligned}$$

By the log-concavity assumption, this sum is greater (or equal) than:

$$(n+1)^2D_k^2 - (n-k+1)(k+2)D_k^2 - ((k+1)^2 - 1)D_k^2 - ((n-k)^2 - 1)D_k^2 - k(n-k-1)D_k^2$$

which is equal to 0, and hence we show that the sum of all five brackets is non-negative and hence we are done. $\square$

9. SYMMETRY OF THE EXCEDANCE STATISTIC

In this section, we present the following symmetry property of the generating function of the excedance statistics on $G_{r,n}$:

Theorem 9.1. *The polynomial*

$$\sum_{\pi \in G_{r,n}} q^{\text{exc}(\pi)} = \sum_{i=0}^{rn-1} a_i q^i$$

satisfies: $a_i = a_{rn-1-i}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{rn-1}{2} \rfloor\}$.

Define a bijection of $G_{r,n}$: $\pi \mapsto \pi'$ in the following way:
For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$:

- If $\pi(i) = j^{[\beta]}$ then $\pi'(n-i) = (n+1-j)^{[r-\beta]}$.
- If $\pi(n) = j^{[\beta]}$ then $\pi'(n) = (n+1-j)^{[r-1-\beta]}$.

Instead of burdening the reader with the subtle though standard proof, we choose to give an example.

Example 9.2.

$$\pi = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc} \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{4} & \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{4} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 2 & \bar{1} & 4 & \bar{3} & \bar{2} & 1 & \bar{4} & \bar{3} & \bar{2} & \bar{1} & \bar{4} & 3 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\pi' = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc|cccc} \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{4} & \bar{1} & \bar{2} & \bar{3} & \bar{4} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \bar{1} & 4 & \bar{3} & 2 & 1 & \bar{4} & 3 & 2 & \bar{1} & \bar{4} & \bar{3} & \bar{2} \end{array} \right).$$

The important point, one can easily see here, is that in any place there is an excedance at π , there is no excedance at π' , and vice versa.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bagno and D. Garber, *On the excedance number of colored permutation groups*, Séminaire Lotharingien de Combin. **53** (2006), Art. B53f, 17 pp. (Electronic, available at <http://igdl.univ-lyon1.fr/~slc>).
- [2] M. Fire, *Statistics on wreath products*, preprint (arXiv: math.CO/0409421).
- [3] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger, *Théorie géométrique des polynômes eulériens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **138**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970.
(Electronic version: <http://www-irma.u-strasbg.fr/~foata/monographs.html>)
- [4] F. Jansson, *Variations on the excedance statistic in permutations*, preprint.
<http://www.math.chalmers.se/~einar/exjobb/fredrik-jansson.pdf>
- [5] T. Mansour and M. Schork, *Recurrence relations involving differential operator*, in preparation.
- [6] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, Vol. 1 and 2, Cambridge University Press, 1998/99.
- [7] E. Steingrímsson, *Permutation statistics of indexed permutations*, Europ. J. Combin. **15** (1994), 187–205.
- [8] R. Ehrenborg and E. Steingrímsson, *The excedance set of a permutation*, Adv. Appl. Math. **24** (2000), 284–299.

THE JERUSALEM COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY, JERUSALEM, ISRAEL
E-mail address: bagnoe@jct.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, HOLON
INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, PO BOX 305, 58102 HOLON, ISRAEL
E-mail address: garber@hit.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAIFA, 31905 HAIFA, ISRAEL
E-mail address: toufik@math.haifa.ac.il

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY, 52900 RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL
E-mail address: shwartr1@macs.biu.ac.il